

How to Spy

The Craft of Intelligence

By Allen

CPYRGHT

DDRESSING a class of trainees for the Central Intelligence Agency recently, Mr Dulles listed as precepts for a good intelligence officer: "Be able to express your ideas clearly, briefly . . . and interestingly," and "Learn when to keep your mouth shut." In expounding the covert aspects of his craft, the former director of US Central Intelligence has obeyed both precepts. He writes fluently; and little in his description of American and Russian intelligence methods could not have been culled from the memoirs of ex-spies, or from the works of Ransom, de Gramont and Tully.

Within limits such reticence is laudable; Mr Dulles retired little more than two years ago. Nevertheless, "The Craft of Intelligence" has about it a disconcertingly elusive quality, as though its author either. did not understand what CIA's critics were saying, or else had chosen wilfully to ignore State Department led to high-level negoits importance."

harmed by the widespread view that it as intelligence-gathering. "makes policy," but he dismisses this view as mythical. He maintains that the President CIA's apparent inability to distinguish and State Department alone set the lines between social democrats and Communists, of American policy. Formally he is right, and its tendency to support "hard line" but the way he poses the problem is mispoliticians, however disreputable. Mr leading. While the President and State Dulles's remarks on this point deserve Department are of course formally respon-sible for determining policy, their decisions

Another myth is are largely based, as Mr Dulles himself admits, on intelligence estimates. How it is possible under these circumstances for the: 1 intelligence community not to become i deeply involved in policy-making the writer. does not explain.

To the suggestion that CIA officers in reply:

cluding the CIA personnel, is responsible to

But disagreements between CIA and the

or misconstrue their arguments. Mr Dulles tiations under Eisenhower; and in 1961 is either remarkably ingenuous or remark-President Kennedy felt constrained to ably disingenuous. Neither quality is re-remind each American ambassador perassuring in a man whose former office was sonally of his general responsibilities. On once described by a senior senator as these episodes Mr Dulles is silent. He is "perhaps second only to the Presidency in silent, too, on the question of whether its importance."

"special operations" of the Bay of Pigs Mr Dulles admits that CIA has been type should be housed under the same roof

Predictably, he has more to say about

Another myth is the charge that CIA always supports dictatorships. This too has been subtly suggested in all manner of ways by Moscow propaganda. Since CIA does not support Communists or fellow travellers, it must, it Moscow's view, support capitalistic war-mongers, colonialists, et al. There is nothing in between. Ergo it must be the dictators who are supported.

the field occasionally contravene official The book contains many such passages. policy Mr Dulles returns an equally formal Whether they afford glimpses into the former CIA director's mental processes it is An American ambassador is the commanding hard to know, since the book was evidently officer and everyone stationed under him, in- written for a popular American audience. What they certainly do is reduce greatly its value as a contribution to the literature of intelligence.